UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: Case No. 3:13-cr-175
vs.	: Judge Michael R. Barrett
LANCE EALY,	: :
Defendant.	: :
<u>VERDIC</u>	T (Count 1)
On the charge of possession of fifteen	n or more counterfeit or unauthorized access
devices, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1029(a)	(3), as set forth in Count 1 of the Second
Superseding Indictment, WE, THE JURY, f	and the defendant LANCE EALY:
GUILTY:x	NOT GUILTY:
Dated this 19th day of November	, 2014.
s/Juror	s/Juror
Foreperson	
s/Juror	s/Juror
-	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : Case No. 3:13-cr-175

VS.	: Judge Michael R. Barrett
LANCE EALY,	: :
Defendant.	: :
<u>VERDIC</u>	<u>Γ (Counts 2 – 12)</u>
On the charge of making or presen	ting false, fictitious, or fraudulent claims, in
violation of 18 U.S.C. § 287, as set forth i	in Counts 2 through 12 of the Second
Superseding Indictment, WE, THE JURY	, find as follows:
	Approximate Filing Date – January 30, 2013;
Refund Claimed – \$4,711.00), WE, THE	JURY, find the defendant LANCE EALY :
GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:
As to Count 3 (Taxpayer – D.W.;	Approximate Filing Date – February 1, 2013
Refund Claimed – \$4,996.00), WE, THE	JURY, find the defendant LANCE EALY :
GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:
	pproximate Filing Date – February 5, 2013; JURY, find the defendant LANCE EALY : NOT GUILTY:

	As to Coun	t 5 (Taxpayer –	S.F.; Approximate Filing Date – July 8, 2013; Refund
Claim	ed – \$1,408.	00), WE, THE J	URY, find the defendant LANCE EALY:
	GUILTY:_	х	NOT GUILTY:
D - £			D.B.; Approximate Filing Date – October 9, 2013;
Refun			THE JURY, find the defendant LANCE EALY : NOT GUILTY:
	As to Coun	t 7 (Taxpayer – .	J.C.; Approximate Filing Date – October 9, 2013;
Refun	d Claimed –	\$588.00), WE, 7	THE JURY, find the defendant LANCE EALY :
	GUILTY:_	Х	NOT GUILTY:
	As to Coun	t 8 (Taxpayer – '	T.N.; Approximate Filing Date – October 9, 2013;
Refun	d Claimed –	\$600.00), WE, 7	THE JURY, find the defendant LANCE EALY :
	GUILTY:_	Х	NOT GUILTY:
	As to Coun	t 9 (Taxpayer –	Y.S.; Approximate Filing Date – October 15, 2013;
Refun	d Claimed –	\$488.00), WE, 7	THE JURY, find the defendant LANCE EALY :
	GUILTY:_	Х	NOT GUILTY:
	As to Coun	t 10 (Taxpayer -	- F.R.; Approximate Filing Date – October 16, 2013;
Refun	d Claimed –	\$444.00), WE, 7	THE JURY, find the defendant LANCE EALY :
	GUILTY:_	Х	NOT GUILTY:

As to Count 11 (Taxpayer – A	.T.; Approximate Filing Date – October 16, 2013;
Refund Claimed – \$1,252.00), WE, T	HE JURY, find the defendant LANCE EALY :
GUILTY:x	NOT GUILTY:
As to Count 12 (Taxpayer – J.	G.; Approximate Filing Date – October 16, 2013;
	E JURY, find the defendant LANCE EALY :
GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:
Dated this 19th day of Novem	nber, 2014.
s/Juror	s/Juror
Foreperson	
s/Juror	s/Juror

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: Case No. 3:13-cr-175	
vs.	: Judge Michael R. Barrett	
LANCE EALY,	: :	
Defendant.	: :	
VERDICT (Counts 13 – 23)	
On the charge of wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, as set forth in		
Counts 13 through 23 of the Second Superseding Indictment, WE, THE JURY, find as		
follows:		
As to Count 13 (Name on Tax Return	rn – M.P.; Approximate Wire Transmission	
Date – January 30, 2013; Refund Claimed – \$4,711.00), WE, THE JURY, find the		
defendant LANCE EALY:		
GUILTY:x	NOT GUILTY:	
As to Count 14 (Name on Tax Return	rn – D.W.; Approximate Wire Transmission	
Date – February 1, 2013; Refund Claimed –	\$4,996.00), WE, THE JURY, find the	
defendant LANCE EALY:		
GUILTY:x	NOT GUILTY:	

As to Count 15 (Name on Tax Return – S.J.; Approximate Wire Transmission
Date – February 5, 2013; Refund Claimed – \$1,300.00), WE, THE JURY, find the
defendant LANCE EALY:
GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:
As to Count 16 (Name on Tax Return – S.F.; Approximate Wire Transmission
Date-July~8,~2013;~Refund~Claimed-\$1,408.00),~WE,~THE~JURY,~find~the~defendant
LANCE EALY:
GUILTY:x NOT GUILTY:
As to Count 17 (Name on Tax Return – D.B.; Approximate Wire Transmission
Date – October 9, 2013; Refund Claimed – \$611.00), WE, THE JURY, find the
defendant LANCE EALY:
GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:
As to Count 18 (Name on Tax Return – J.C.; Approximate Wire Transmission Data October 9, 2013; Refund Claimed, \$588,00), WE THE HJBV, find the
Date – October 9, 2013; Refund Claimed – \$588.00), WE, THE JURY, find the
defendant LANCE EALY:
GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:

1	as to Count 19 (Name on Tax Return – T.N.; Approximate Wire Transmission
Date –	October 9, 2013; Refund Claimed – \$600.00), WE, THE JURY, find the
defenda	nt LANCE EALY:
(GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:
1	as to Count 20 (Name on Tax Return – Y.S.; Approximate Wire Transmission
Date –	October 15, 2013; Refund Claimed – \$488.00), WE, THE JURY, find the
defenda	nt LANCE EALY:
(GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:
1	as to Count 21 (Name on Tax Return – F.R.; Approximate Wire Transmission
Date –	October 16, 2013; Refund Claimed – \$444.00), WE, THE JURY, find the
defenda	nt LANCE EALY:
(GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:
1	as to Count 22 (Name on Tax Return – A.T.; Approximate Wire Transmission
Date –	October 16, 2013; Refund Claimed – \$1,252.00), WE, THE JURY, find the
defenda	nt LANCE EALY:
(GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:

As to **Count 23** (Name on Tax Return – J.G.; Approximate Wire Transmission Date – October 16, 2013; Refund Claimed – \$499.00), WE, THE JURY, find the defendant **LANCE EALY**:

GUILTY: x	NOT GUILTY:
Dated this 19th day of November	, 2014.
s/Juror	s/Juror
Foreperson	
s/Juror	s/Juror

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: Case No. 3:13-cr-175
vs.	: Judge Michael R. Barrett
LANCE EALY,	· :
Defendant.	:
VERDICT (C	Counts 35 – 37)
On the charge of wire fraud, in violati	ion of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, as set forth in
Counts 35 through 37 of the Second Superseding Indictment, WE, THE JURY, find as	
follows:	
As to Count 35 (Approximate Wire 7	Transmission Date – October 23, 2013;
Alleged Fraudulent Refund Amount – \$611.00; Bank Account Number – x7596;	
Purported Account Holder – R.P.), WE, THI	E JURY, find the defendant LANCE EALY
GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:
As to Count 36 (Approximate Wire 7 Alleged Fraudulent Refund Amount – \$600.	Fransmission Date – October 23, 2013; 00; Bank Account Number – x8228;
Purported Account Holder – J.F.), WE, THE	
GUILTY: X	

As to **Count 37** (Approximate Wire Transmission Date – October 23, 2013; Alleged Fraudulent Refund Amount – \$278.87; Bank Account Number – x0983; Purported Account Holder – K.M.), WE, THE JURY, find the defendant **LANCE EALY**:

GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:
Dated this 19th day of November 19th	oer , 2014.
s/Juror	s/Juror
Foreperson	
s/Juror	s/Juror

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: Case No. 3:13-cr-175
VS.	: Judge Michael R. Barrett
LANCE EALY,	: :
Defendant.	; ;
VERD	ICT (Count 43)
On the charge of wire fraud, in vi	olation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, as set forth in
Count 43 of the Second Superseding Inc	dictment, WE, THE JURY, find the defendant
LANCE EALY:	
GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:
Dated this 19th day of November	, 2014.
s/Juror	s/Juror
Foreperson	
s/Juror	s/Juror

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : Case No. 3:13-cr-175

VS.	: Judge Michael R. Barrett		
LANCE EALY,	:		
	· :		
Defendant.	:		
VERDICT	(Count 45)		
On the charge of wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, as set forth in			
Count 45 of the Second Superseding Indictr	nent, WE, THE JURY, find the defendant		
LANCE EALY:			
GUILTY:	NOT GUILTY:		
Dated thisday ofNovember	, 2014.		
s/Juror	s/Juror		
Foreperson			
s/Juror	s/Juror		

: Case No. 3:13-cr-175
: Judge Michael R. Barrett
: :
Counts 24 – 34)
theft, during and in relation to the underlying
.C. § 1028A(a)(1), as set forth in Counts 24
ctment, WE, THE JURY, find as follows:
E – Wire Fraud (as set forth in Count 13)), E EALY: NOT GUILTY:
E – Wire Fraud (as set forth in Count 14)), E EALY: NOT GUILTY:
E – Wire Fraud (as set forth in Count 15)), E EALY: NOT GUILTY:

As to Count 27 (Underlying C	Offense – Wire Fraud (as set forth in Count 16)),
WE, THE JURY, find the defendant	LANCE EALY:
GUILTY: x	NOT GUILTY:
As to Count 28 (Underlying Country)	Offense – Wire Fraud (as set forth in Count 17)),
WE, THE JURY, find the defendant	LANCE EALY:
GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:
As to Count 29 (Underlying Country)	Offense – Wire Fraud (as set forth in Count 18)),
WE, THE JURY, find the defendant	LANCE EALY:
GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:
As to Count 30 (Underlying O WE, THE JURY, find the defendant	Offense – Wire Fraud (as set forth in Count 19)), LANCE EALY:
	NOT GUILTY:
As to Count 31 (Underlying O WE, THE JURY, find the defendant	Offense – Wire Fraud (as set forth in Count 20)), LANCE EALY:
GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:
As to Count 32 (Underlying C	Offense – Wire Fraud (as set forth in Count 21)),
WE, THE JURY, find the defendant	LANCE EALY:
GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:

As to Count 33 (Underlying Offense – Wire Fraud (as set forth in Count 22)),		
WE, THE JURY, find the defendant LANCE EALY :		
GUILTY: x	NOT GUILTY:	
As to Count 34 (Underlying O	ffense – Wire Fraud (as set forth in Count 23)),	
WE, THE JURY, find the defendant ${\bf I}$	LANCE EALY:	
GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:	
Dated this 19th day of Novemb	, 2014.	
s/Juror	s/Juror	
Foreperson		
s/Juror	s/Juror	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: Case No. 3:13-cr-175
vs.	: Judge Michael R. Barrett
LANCE EALY,	
Defendant.	: :
VERDICT	(Counts 39 – 41)
On the charge of aggravated identif	ty theft, during and in relation to the underlying
offense of wire fraud, in violation of 18 U	S.C. § 1028A(a)(1), as set forth in Counts 39
through 41 of the Second Superseding In	dictment, WE, THE JURY, find as follows:
WE, THE JURY, find the defendant LAN	
GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:
As to Count 40 (Underlying Offen WE, THE JURY, find the defendant LAN	use – Wire Fraud (as set forth in Count 36)),
GUILTY:x	NOT GUILTY:
As to Count 41 (Underlying Offen WE, THE JURY, find the defendant LAN	
GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:

Dated thisday ofNovme	<u>ber</u> , 2014.
s/Juror	s/Juror
Foreperson	
s/Juror	s/Juror

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: Case No. 3:13-cr-175
vs.	: Judge Michael R. Barrett
LANCE EALY,	; ;
Defendant.	: :
<u>VERDIC</u>	CT (Count 44)
On the charge of aggravated identit	y theft, during and in relation to the underlying
offense of wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.	S.C. § 1028A(a)(1), as set forth in Count 44 of
the Second Superseding Indictment (Unde	erlying Offense – Wire Fraud (as set forth in
Count 43)), WE, THE JURY, find the defe	endant LANCE EALY:
GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:
Dated this 19th day of November	, 2014.
s/Juror	s/Juror
Foreperson	
s/Juror	s/Juror

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: Case No. 3:13-cr-175
vs.	: : Judge Michael R. Barrett
LANCE EALY,	: :
Defendant.	: :
<u>VERDI</u>	<u>CT (Count 46)</u>
On the charge of aggravated identi	ty theft, during and in relation to the underlying
offense of wire fraud (as charged in Coun	nt 45), in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1),
as set forth in Count 46 of the Second Su	perseding Indictment, WE, THE JURY, find the
defendant LANCE EALY:	
GUILTY:x	NOT GUILTY:
Dated this 19th day of November	, 2014.
s/Juror	s/Juror
Foreperson	
s/Juror	s/Juror

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : Case No. 3:13-cr-175

vs.	: Judge Michael R. Barrett
LANCE EALY,	: :
Defendant.	
<u>VERDICT</u>	(Count 38)
On the charge of mail fraud, in violati	ion of 18 U.S.C. § 1341, as set forth in
Count 38 of the Second Superseding Indictr	ment (Mail – Alleged Fraudulent Federal Tax
Refund; Approximate Mailing Date – June 7	, 2013; Mail Delivery Address – 728
Osmond Avenue, Dayton, Ohio), WE, THE JURY, find the defendant LANCE EALY:	
GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:
Dated this 19th day of November	, 2014.
s/Juror	s/Juror
Foreperson	
s/Juror	s/Juror

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: Case No. 3:13-cr-175
vs.	: Judge Michael R. Barrett
LANCE EALY,	: :
Defendant.	: :
VERDIC	T (Count 42)
On the charge of attempting to use of	one or more unauthorized access devices
during a one-year period, thereby obtaining	g things of value aggregating \$1,000 or more,
in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1029(a)(2) and	(b)(1), as set forth in Count 42 of the Second
Superseding Indictment, WE, THE JURY,	find the defendant LANCE EALY:
GUILTY: X	NOT GUILTY:
Dated this 19th day of November	, 2014.
s/Juror	s/Juror
Foreperson	
s/Juror	s/Juror